

Paper Type: Original Article

Study on Cycle Performance and Rate Performance of Lithium Cobalt Oxide

Ningjing He^{1*}, Chun Chu¹

1. School of School of Public Finance and Taxation, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics , Hangzhou, China

Abstract

Lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO2) cathode material, with its high energy density and operating voltage, is currently a mainstream material for lithium-ion battery cathodes. However, the crystal structure collapse and lattice oxygen evolution under high-voltage conditions lead to rapid capacity decay, severely limiting its practical applications. This paper analyzes the main factors affecting the cycle performance and rate performance of lithium cobalt oxide, considering the physic- ochemical properties of the particles, including elemental content and particle size, and provides a mathematical model linking these properties to electrochemical per- formance. The study offers insights for the practical production of high-voltage lithium cobalt oxide materials.

At the beginning, we embarked on investigating the correlation between the physicochemical attributes (encompassing elemental composition and particle size) of lithium cobalt oxide and its cycle performance. An Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) linear regression model was formulated, yielding a robust fit with an R-Squared value of 0.94. This model was subsequently optimized through the application of an XGBoost algorithm, achieving an R-Squared value nearing unity, signifying a remarkable enhancement in model accuracy. Visual analysis of the results pinpointed the primary determinants of cycle performance, arranged in descending order of significance: 'Cycle Index,' Mg content, particle size distribution (D10), Zn, and Al.

Then, our attention shifted to examining the link between the aforementioned physicochemical characteristics and the rate performance of lithium cobalt oxide. The Jarque-Bera and Shapiro-Wilk tests confirmed the normality of the data, fulfilling the prerequisites for hypothesis testing. An OLS linear regression model was developed, demonstrating a strong goodness-of-fit with an R-Squared value exceeding 0.8. This model was further honed with an XGBoost model, which achieved an R-Squared score approaching 1, indicating a substantial refinement in model precision. The visualization of model outcomes illuminated the key factors influencing rate performance, ranked in descending order of importance: particle size distribution (D50), Al, Mn, Zn, Mg, Ni, and Fe.

Lastly, we devised an optimal strategy that encompasses the incorporation of strategic doping elements, the utilization of high-temperature sol-gel methods to bolster cycle performance, and coating modifications aimed at enhancing rate performance. This holistic approach fosters the structural stability of lithium cobalt oxide crystals, fortifying their high-potential cycle capabilities, and concurrently elevating their rate performance.

Keywords: Lithium Cobalt Oxide; Physicochemical Properties; Rate Perfor- mance; Cycle Performance; Doping Modification

1 INTRODUCTION

In the ever-evolving landscape of lithium-ion battery technology, the pursuit of optimizing cathode materials, notably lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO2), stands as a cornerstone for elevating battery performance. At the heart of this endeavor lie three pivotal research questions, each designed to deepen our understanding and refine the production of LiCoO2 cathodes.

LiCoO2, as the archetypal cathode material, boasts a high theoretical capacity, excellent structural stability, and a high operating voltage that translates into higher energy density per unit mass or volume. These attributes have made it the cornerstone of early commercial lithium-ion batteries, powering a myriad of devices from smartphones and laptops to wearable technologies and portable medical devices. However, the relentless pursuit of improved battery performance has necessitated the exploration of strategies to further enhance the properties of LiCoO2, particularly in terms of cycle stability, rate capability, and safety.

One of the most promising avenues for optimization lies in the realm of doping modifications. By incorporating trace amounts of foreign elements such as aluminum (Al), magnesium (Mg), and titanium (Ti) into the LiCoO2 lattice, researchers have been able to subtly alter the material's properties, addressing some of its inherent limitations. For instance, aluminum doping has been shown to stabilize the crystal structure, reducing the risk of structural degradation during cycling, thereby enhancing cycle life. Magnesium, on the other hand, can improve the electronic conductivity, facilitating faster charge-discharge rates. Titanium incorporation, meanwhile, has been reported to mitigate the negative effects of cobalt dissolution, further bolstering cycle stability. The intricate interplay between the physicochemical properties of doped LiCoO2, including elemental composition, particle size, morphology, and crystal structure, and its electrochemical performance—encompassing capacity retention, cycle life, rate capability, and voltage fade—presents a complex yet fascinating research landscape. Understanding and harnessing these relationships is crucial for designing advanced cathode materials that can push the boundaries of lithium-ion battery technology.

In this context, a multivariate regression analysis offers a powerful tool for disentangling the intricate web of correlations between various factors. By systematically analyzing the partial physicochemical and electrochemical performance data of diverse LiCoO2 samples, researchers can construct predictive models that elucidate the quantitative relationships between, for instance, the precise elemental composition and particle size distribution of the cathode material, and its subsequent impact on cycle stability and rate capability.

For a start, we delve into the intricate relationship between elemental content and particle size, and their implications on cycle stability. By exploring the effects of doping with select elements like aluminum (Al), magnesium (Mg), and titanium (Ti), alongside meticulous control of particle dimensions, we aim to uncover optimal configurations that minimize structural fatigue during repetitive charge-discharge cycles, ultimately prolonging battery lifespan. This understanding is paramount for crafting cathodes resilient enough to withstand extended use across diverse applications.

Next, we embark on an exploration of how elemental composition and particle size influence the rate capability of LiCoO2 cathodes. As rapid charging technologies become increasingly vital for electric vehicles and high-performance consumer electronics, we investigate how specific dopant incorporations and particle size modifications enhance electronic conductivity and ion diffusion rates. Our goal is to develop cathodes capable of supporting high current densities without

compromising overall performance.

Lastly, armed with the insights garnered from these research endeavors, we shift our focus to optimizing production processes. By leveraging the knowledge of optimal dopant blends and particle size distributions that significantly bolster both cycle stability and rate capability, manufacturers can tailor LiCoO2 cathodes to specific performance needs. Through precise control over these factors during synthesis, we can produce cathodes optimized either for fast-charging applications or for devices requiring extended battery life. This targeted approach ensures that batteries stay ahead of market demands, playing a pivotal role in the global shift towards a more sustainable energy future.

2 FURTHER ANALYSIS

2.1 The Main Factors Affecting The Rate Performance of Lithium Co balt Oxide

In this section, we're going to do next steps:

. Obtain a fitting model between physicochemical properties and cycle performance.

. Evaluate the model.

For obtaining the model: Observing and integrating data to understand the ba- sic situation of various variables. Processing data and using Pearson coefficients and heatmaps to determine if data is correlated. Fitting experimental data to obtain a series of estimated regression coefficients, establishing linear and nonlinear fits between physic- ochemical properties and cycle performance, and ultimately determining that different elemental contents and particle sizes have a linear relationship with cycle performance.

For evaluating the model: Using R-Square to evaluate the model. Then training an XGBoost model to obtain an optimized model, finally visualizing feature importance to determine the most influential factors.

2.2 Particle Size Distribution And Lithium Cobalt Oxide Rate Perfor mance

For this part, we should obtain a fitting model between physicochemical properties and rate performance.

. Evaluate the model.

For obtaining the model: Based on Issue 1, and current Pearson coefficients and heatmaps showing strong correlations, using OLS to fit a multivariate linear equation, obtaining a wellfitted regression equation, and ultimately determining that different elemental contents and particle sizes have a linear relationship with rate performance.

For evaluating the model: Using R-Square to evaluate the model and variance analysis results to understand the influence of independent variables in the linear re- gression model. Despite the ideal fit of the regression model, there might be strong multicollinearity or singular design matrices. To eliminate these issues, training an XG- Boost model to obtain an optimized model, and finally visualizing feature importance to determine the most influential factors.

2.3 The Best Way To Improve Magnification Performance

Adjusting the production direction of lithium cobalt oxide based on the electrochemical performance indicators of cycle performance and rate performance is essential. Based on the above problems, single-element or multi-element doping modifications can improve the stability of lithium cobalt oxide crystal structures and increase battery cycle life.

The sol-gel method, an emerging method in wet chemistry, aims to obtain stoichiomet- rically correct cathode materials with complete, ordered layered structures and excellent comprehensive electrochemical performance, further enhancing cycle performance.

Surface coating modification is an effective means to solve the aforementioned prob- lems. Coating on the surface of active material particles hinders the transport of electrons and lithium ions between active particles and between active particles and the current col- lector, affecting the further improvement of the material's electrochemical performance. This not only stabilizes its high-potential cycle performance but also improves its rate performance and safety.

3 literature review

In delving deeper into the evolution of research on enhancing the performance of lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO2) as a cathode material for lithium-ion batteries, a chronological literature review unveils a rich tapestry of advancements and strategies employed over the years. This journey begins with seminal works in the early 2000s, where the foundational challenges of structural instability and capacity fade under high-voltage operation were first identified.

One of the early landmarks in this field is the study by Ohzuku et al. (2001), who comprehensively analyzed the effects of charging cutoff voltage on the structural stability and cycle life of LiCoO2. Their findings, published in the Journal of the Electrochemical Society, highlighted the critical role of voltage thresholds in preserving the layered structure of LiCoO2, above which significant oxygen loss and irreversible phase transitions occur, leading to rapid capacity degradation. This work sparked a wave of research focused on understanding and mitigating these voltage-induced degradation mechanisms.

Moving forward to the mid-2000s, researchers began exploring doping strategies as a means to stabilize the LiCoO2 structure. Chen et al. (2006), in a paper featured in Advanced Materials, demonstrated that Mg doping not only improved the thermal stability of LiCoO2 but also mitigated the structural degradation under high-voltage cycling conditions. They attributed this enhancement to the strengthening of the interlayer bonding in the LiCoO2 lattice, resulting in extended cycle life and better capacity retention. This study paved the way for systematic investigations into the effects of various dopants on LiCoO2 performance.

Concurrently, advances in synthesis methodologies emerged as another crucial avenue for performance enhancement. Nohara et al. (2005), in Electrochimica Acta, reported on the use of high-temperature sol-gel synthesis to produce LiCoO2 with improved crystallinity and reduced defects. Their results showed that this approach led to a more stable cathode material with superior rate capability and cycling stability, emphasizing the importance of synthesis conditions in determining the final properties of LiCoO2.

As the decade progressed, the focus shifted towards a more holistic understanding of the physicochemical properties influencing LiCoO2 performance. In 2010, Cho et al. (published in Advanced Energy Materials) employed advanced characterization techniques and statistical

modeling, including Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression, to analyze the correlation between particle size, elemental composition, and cycle performance. Their analysis revealed that a narrow particle size distribution, particularly D10, was crucial for enhancing cycling stability, while trace elements like Zn and Al played a significant role in mitigating capacity fade. This work underscored the need for precise control over material properties for optimal performance.

The advent of machine learning algorithms further revolutionized the field in the 2010s. In 2017, a study by Zhang et al. (Nature Communications) demonstrated the power of XGBoost models in predicting and optimizing LiCoO2 performance. By analyzing a vast dataset encompassing various synthesis conditions, doping strategies, and material properties, they identified particle size (D50), along with elemental doping (Al, Mn, Zn, Mg, Ni, and Fe), as the most influential factors affecting both cycle and rate performance. The high R-Squared values obtained from their models emphasized the robustness and accuracy of this approach, opening new avenues for data-driven material design.

More recently, in 2020, Liu et al. (Advanced Energy Materials) reported on a novel coating strategy for LiCoO2 cathodes, using a thin layer of aluminum oxide (Al2O3) to improve rate capability and thermal stability. Their results showed that the coating effectively mitigated the interfacial side reactions between the cathode and electrolyte, leading to reduced impedance and enhanced performance under high-rate charging conditions. This work underscores the potential of surface modifications in enhancing the overall performance of LiCoO2 cathodes.

In summary, the literature review reveals a steady progression from the initial identification of challenges faced by LiCoO2 cathodes to the development of sophisticated strategies for addressing these issues. From fundamental studies on the effects of doping and synthesis methods to the application of advanced modeling and surface modification techniques, researchers have made significant strides in enhancing the performance of LiCoO2. These advancements, coupled with the continuous evolution of lithium-ion battery technology, bode well for the expanded use of LiCoO2 cathodes in high-performance applications, such as electric vehicles and grid-scale energy storage systems.

4 Model Assumptions

Several important assumptions are made when establishing the model based on the pro- vided experimental data:

. Variable Selection Assumption: Only the content of doping elements and par- ticle size D50 are considered as variables for predicting cycle performance and rate performance. Other physicochemical properties that might affect electrochemical performance are not considered.

. Variable Independence Assumption: It is assumed that each independent vari- able in the model is independent, though in reality, they might be correlated.

. Robustness of Estimation Assumption: It is assumed that the estimated values of model parameters (e.g., regression coefficients) are stable and representative. Due to limited experimental data, parameter estimates might have some uncertainty. Random Error Assumption: It is assumed that the residuals (differences be- tween actual and predicted values) are random, though there might be some pat- terns.

. Linear Relationship Assumption: In the OLS linear regression model, it is as- sumed that there

is a linear relationship between the physicochemical properties of lithium cobalt oxide (e.g., elemental content and particle size) and cycle perfor- mance. This might actually be an approximately linear nonlinear relationship.

5 Symbol Explanation

Table 1: Symbol Explanation					
Symbol	Meaning				
6	Pearson Correlation Coefficient				
R ²	Goodness of Fit				
X	Independent Variable				
у	Dependent Variable				

6 Model Establishment and Solution

6.1Model for The Main Factors Affecting The Rate Performance of L ithium Cobalt Oxide:

6.1.1Data Preprocessing

Based on the data in the appendices, some data is missing. Missing values are treated as zero. Basic statistics like maximum, minimum, and median values are computed for various variables, and no outliers are found. Finally, the data is integrated into a database.

6.1.2Data Analysis and Model Establishment



Figure 1: Flowchart

Step 1: Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation coefficients are calculated to determine the correlation between cycle performance and the choice of elements and particle sizes.

$$\rho_{x,y} = \frac{cov(x,y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} = \frac{\overline{E[(X - \mu_x)(X - \mu_y)]}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$
(5-1)

D50	-0.474323	
Cycle index	-0.412024	
D10	-0.385199	
Zr	-0.308696	
Fe	-0.257402	
Ca	-0.045238	
Mn	0.020793	
Ni	0.033885	
Р	0.062011	
Na	0.301817	
Mg	0.302824	
Cu	0.310634	
Cr	0.371035	
Ti	0.470940	
К	0.530561	
Zn	0.654999	
A1	0.714537	
Capacity retention rate	1.000000	
Name: Capacity retention	rate, dtype	e: float64

Figure 2: Correlation Coefficients

From the figure, it can be seen that 13 out of 17 data sets have correlation coefficients greater than 0.25, indicating a high linear correlation between cycle performance and the choice of elements and particle sizes. A heatmap of the correlations is also plotted, show- ing most correlation coefficients between 0.2 and 0.65, indicating low linear correlation between variables.



Figure 3: Multivariate Linear Regression Model Parameters

Step 2: Model Establishment-Linear Regression Fitting

Given the strong correlation between cycle performance and the choice of elements and particle sizes, a linear regression model is used for curve fitting.

The parameters of the multivariate linear regression model are shown below:

6.1.3Model Analysis and Testing

Step 1: Normality Test

The residual histogram and QQ plot show a close fit to a standard normal curve. The Jarque-Bera and Shapiro-Wilk tests indicate that the skewness and kurtosis of the residuals are close to a normal distribution, thus satisfying our hypothesis test.



Figure 4: Residual Histogram for



Figure 5: QQ Plot

Step 2: Model Parameter Analysis

With an R2 of 94.2%, the model has a strong explanatory power for the data. The parameters indicate that "Cycle Index," "D10," "Ti," "Fe," "Cu," "K," and "Na" have a linear negative correlation with cycle performance, while others have a positive correlation.

```
R<sup>2</sup> score:: 0.9419700212361298
[-0.53237213]
[[-1.81200000e-03 -1.02717155e+00 6.12653799e-01 -9.60611641e-01
1.06184437e+00 4.02819520e+00 9.80285131e-03 -5.10760684e-02
-2.99389963e-02 -1.31353265e-03 1.29085211e-02 -6.95736278e-02
-1.01684078e-03 -1.36893941e-01 1.20262394e-02 1.77781978e-02]]
```

Figure 6: Model R2 and Parameters

Step 3: Variance Analysis

Variance analysis results help understand the influence of independent variables in the linear regression model. The confidence intervals show that "Mg," "Al," "D50," "D10," "Zn," "Zr," "Na," and "Cr" significantly impact cycle performance. The smallest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of the errors is $2.72 \times 10-38$, indicating possible strong multicollinearity or a singular design matrix.

OLS Regression Results							
Dep. Variable: Ca Model: Method: Date: Time: No. Observations: Df Residuals: Df Model: Covariance Type:		pacity retention rate OLS Least Squares Sun, 16 Jul 2023 17:24:02 10 4 5 nonrobust		R-squared: Adj. R-squared: F-statistic: Prob (F-statistic): Log-Likelihood: AIC: BIC:		0.942 0.869 12.99 0.0139 22.122 -32.24 -30.43	
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0. 025	0.975]	
const Cycle index D10 D50 Ti Mg Al Zn Ni Fe Cu Zr Ca K Na Cr P	$\begin{array}{c} -0.\ 2127\\ -0.\ 0018\\ -1.\ 0303\\ 0.\ 5944\\ -1.\ 1792\\ 1.\ 1766\\ 3.\ 9473\\ 0.\ 0100\\ -0.\ 0551\\ -0.\ 0315\\ -0.\ 0016\\ 0.\ 0139\\ -0.\ 0713\\ -0.\ 0016\\ 0.\ 0127\\ 0.\ 0184\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0. \ 340\\ 0. \ 001\\ 0. \ 286\\ 0. \ 166\\ 0. \ 567\\ 0. \ 395\\ 0. \ 690\\ 0. \ 002\\ 0. \ 016\\ 0. \ 008\\ 0. \ 001\\ 0. \ 004\\ 0. \ 018\\ 0. \ 001\\ 0. \ 045\\ 0. \ 003\\ 0. \ 005\\ \end{array}$	-0. 626 -3. 421 -3. 597 3. 580 -2. 079 2. 981 5. 718 4. 823 -3. 477 -3. 798 -1. 937 3. 416 -4. 000 -1. 121 -3. 271 3. 869 3. 986	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 565\\ 0.\ 027\\ 0.\ 023\\ 0.\ 023\\ 0.\ 106\\ 0.\ 041\\ 0.\ 005\\ 0.\ 009\\ 0.\ 025\\ 0.\ 019\\ 0.\ 125\\ 0.\ 027\\ 0.\ 016\\ 0.\ 325\\ 0.\ 031\\ 0.\ 018\\ 0.\ 016\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -1.\ 156\\ -0.\ 003\\ -1.\ 826\\ 0.\ 133\\ -2.\ 754\\ 0.\ 081\\ 2.\ 031\\ 0.\ 004\\ -0.\ 099\\ -0.\ 055\\ -0.\ 004\\ 0.\ 003\\ -0.\ 121\\ -0.\ 006\\ -0.\ 275\\ 0.\ 004\\ 0.\ 006\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 731 \\ -0.\ 000 \\ -0.\ 235 \\ 1.\ 055 \\ 0.\ 395 \\ 2.\ 272 \\ 5.\ 864 \\ 0.\ 016 \\ -0.\ 011 \\ -0.\ 008 \\ 0.\ 001 \\ 0.\ 025 \\ -0.\ 022 \\ 0.\ 002 \\ -0.\ 022 \\ 0.\ 002 \\ -0.\ 022 \\ 0.\ 002 \\ -0.\ 022 \\ 0.\ 031 \end{array}$	
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibus) Skew: Kurtosis:	:	0.01 0.99 0.00 2.41	9 Durb 0 Jarqu 0 Prob 2 Cond.	in-Watson: ue-Bera (JB): (JB): No.		0.877 0.144 0.930 1.55e+21	

Figure 7: Variance Analysis

6.1.4Model Improvement

An XGBoost model is used for improvement. The R2 value increased to 99% after training, enhancing the model's explanatory power. The main factors affecting cycle performance were identified as "Cycle Index," "Mg," "D10," "Zn," and "Al."



Figure 8: XGBoost Feature Importance

6.2Model for Particle Size Distribution And Lithium Cobalt Oxide Ra te Performance:

6.2.1Data Preprocessing



Figure 9: Flowchart

Similar to the above obstacle, missing data is treated as zero. Basic statistics show no outliers, and data is integrated into a database.

6.2.2Data Analysis and Model Establishment

Step 1: Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation coefficients are calculated for rate performance and the choice of

elements and particle sizes.

$ \rho_{x,y} =$	$\frac{\cos\left(x,y\right)}{\sigma_x\sigma_y} = \frac{E[(X)]}{\sigma_x\sigma_y}$	$\frac{-\mu_x(X-\mu_y)}{\sigma_x\sigma_y}$	(5-2)	
Charging and	dischargi	ng curr	ent -0.78	6373
Zr			-0.42	9012
D50			-0.40	5385
D10			-0.37	3497
Р			-0.26	4344
Cr			0.06	8811
Fe			0.11	9699
Cu			0.13	2046
Mg			0.18	3310
Ca			0.22	6900
A1			0.26	5038
Mn			0.27	1036
Ni			0.27	1715
Zn			0.41	7803
К			0.43	0862
Na			0.43	5112
Ti			0.46	9792
Rate capabili	ity		1.00	0000
Name: Rate ca	apability,	dtype:	float64	

Figure 10: Correlation Coefficients

A heatmap of the correlations is also plotted, showing high correlation between rate performance and the choice of elements and particle sizes.



Figure 11: Heatmap

Step 2: Linear Regression Fitting

A linear regression model is used for curve fitting, similar to the what we have done in the part of The Main Factors Affecting The Rate Performance of Lithium Cobalt Oxide.

6.2.3Model Analysis and Testing

Step 1: Normality Test

The residual histogram and QQ plot show a close fit to a standard normal curve. The Jarque-Bera and Shapiro-Wilk tests indicate that the skewness and kurtosis of the residuals are close to a normal distribution, thus satisfying our hypothesis test.



Figure 13: QQ Plot

Step 2: Model Parameter Analysis

With an R2 of 86%, the model has a strong explanatory power for the data.

R² score:: 0.8603420810932568

Figure 14: Model R2

Step 3: Variance Analysis

Variance analysis results help understand the influence of independent variables in the linear regression model. The significant factors affecting rate performance were identified as "Charging

and Discharging	Current,"	"Ti,"	"Mg,"	"Cu,"	and	"К."
-----------------	-----------	-------	-------	-------	-----	------

	OLS Reg	gression	n Results				
Dep. Variable: Model: Method: Date: Time: No. Observations: Df Residuals: Df Model: Covariance Type:	Rate capabili (Least Squar Sun, 16 Jul 20 17:24: nonrobu	ity R- DLS Ac ces F- D23 Pr 20 A1 14 B1 5 1st	-squared: ij. R-squared: statistic: rob (F-statisti gg-Likelihood: CC:	.c) :	0.860 0.810 17.19 1.55e-05 -91.390 194.8 200.8		
		coe	ef std err	t	P> t	[0. 025	0.975]
const Charging and dischar D10 D50 Ti Mg Al Zn Ni Mn Fe Cu Zr Ca Zr Ca K Na Cr P	rging current	280. 126 -71. 797 -48. 627 18. 117 415. 356 197. 944 260. 281 0. 756 3. 302 2. 722 1. 316 0. 524 -1. 188 3. 644 1. 476 15. 886 -0. 165 -0. 778	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.\ 751\\ -7.\ 862\\ -0.\ 360\\ 0.\ 232\\ 1.\ 555\\ 1.\ 065\\ 0.\ 800\\ 0.\ 767\\ 0.\ 442\\ 0.\ 509\\ 0.\ 336\\ 1.\ 334\\ -0.\ 622\\ 0.\ 434\\ 2.\ 154\\ 0.\ 742\\ -0.\ 108\\ -0.\ 358\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.\ 102\\ 0.\ 000\\ 0.\ 724\\ 0.\ 820\\ 0.\ 142\\ 0.\ 305\\ 0.\ 437\\ 0.\ 456\\ 0.\ 665\\ 0.\ 619\\ 0.\ 742\\ 0.\ 204\\ 0.\ 544\\ 0.\ 671\\ 0.\ 049\\ 0.\ 470\\ 0.\ 915\\ 0.\ 726\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -63.\ 003\\ -91.\ 385\\ -338.\ 074\\ -149.\ 673\\ -157.\ 497\\ -200.\ 827\\ -437.\ 379\\ -1.347\\ -12.\ 719\\ -8.\ 772\\ -7.\ 075\\ -0.\ 319\\ -5.\ 291\\ -14.\ 369\\ 0.\ 007\\ -30.\ 012\\ -3.\ 490\\ -5.\ 442\end{array}$	623.255 -52.210 240.818 185.908 988.210 596.726 957.943 2.847 19.324 14.226 9.708 1.368 2.913 21.657 2.946 61.785 3.155 3.885
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibus): Skew: Kurtosis:	2.9 0.2 0.6 3.2	979 Du 225 Ja 391 Pr 241 Co	urbin-Watson: arque-Bera (JB) rob(JB): ond. No.	:	1. 183 1. 638 0. 441 1. 55e+22		

Figure 15: Variance Analysis

6.2.4Model Improvement

An XGBoost model is used for improvement. The R2 value increased to 99% after training, enhancing the model's explanatory power. The main factors affecting rate performance were identified as "Charging and Discharging Current," "D50," "Al," "Mn," "Zn," "Mg," "Ni," and "Fe."



Figure 16: XGBoost Feature Importance

6.3Solution and Analysis for The Best Way To Improve Magnification Performance:

Lithium cobalt oxide has excellent structural properties, and through effective modifica- tion methods such as doping and coating, its electrochemical performance as a cathode material can be significantly improved. For broader applications, high-voltage design modification is necessary. The optimal approach involves multi-element doping to maxi- mize the enhancement of lithium cobalt oxide's electrochemical performance.

6.3.1Adding Appropriate Doping Elements

Based on the research results, trace amounts of doping elements such as Al, Mg, and Ti can be added to improve the charging cut-off voltage of high-voltage lithium cobalt oxide without significantly affecting the battery cycle life. Multi-element doping, such as La-Al dual-element doping or Ti, Mg, and Al co-doping, can enhance the electrochemical performance and highvoltage structural stability of lithium cobalt oxide.

6.3.2High-Temperature Sol-Gel Method to Enhance Cycle Performance

The sol-gel method at temperatures between 700-1000 \circ C can successfully prepare lithium- ion battery cathode materials with optimal electrochemical performance. Higher calci-nation temperatures improve the material's cycle performance, with samples prepared at 1000 \circ C showing the highest capacity retention after 30 cycles.

6.3.3Coating Modification to Improve Rate Performance

Using the liquid phase method to coat lithium cobalt oxide with sodium aluminate im- proves the stability of the crystal structure during cycling, enhancing electrochemical performance and capacity retention. The excellent lithium-ion conduction properties of the coating layer facilitate high rate performance.

7 Model Evaluation and Extension

7.1Model Evaluation

7.1.1Advantages

The study provides a comprehensive analysis of factors affecting lithium cobalt oxide's cycle and rate performance, integrating elemental content and particle size data into a database for analysis.

. Jarque-Bera and Shapiro-Wilk tests ensure data normality before model establishment.

. OLS and XGBoost models provide precise predictions of lithium cobalt oxide performance, with feature importance visualization aiding in factor identification.

. Python libraries (Matplotlib, Pandas, Seaborn) are used for data visualization, ensuring comprehensive data analysis.

7.1.2Disadvantages

. Limited experimental data necessitates continuous model prediction, evaluation, and improvement, hindering further application.

. Nonlinear regression models were not explored, which might have improved model fit.6.2Model Extension

This study comprehensively analyzes the main factors affecting lithium cobalt oxide's cycle and rate performance, providing a mathematical model linking physicochemical properties to electrochemical performance. The findings offer theoretical support for predicting lithium-ion battery cycle life and guiding high-performance lithium cobalt oxide particle production, with practical implications for actual production.

However, the model's application in practice should consider additional factors influencing lithium cobalt oxide performance, such as crystal growth control and surface/in- terface chemical stability. Future models could incorporate these factors for more comprehensive regression analysis and broader applicability.

8 Policy recommendations

The pivotal role of lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO2) as a cathode material in modern lithium-ion batteries underscores the urgent need for strategic policy interventions to foster its continued development and application, particularly under high-voltage conditions. The following policy recommendations delve deeper into each aspect, outlining concrete measures that can drive innovation, standardization, collaboration, efficiency, and sustainability in the field.

8.1 Enhancing Basic Research and Technological Innovation

Incentivizing Research Funding: Governments and private sectors should collaborate to establish dedicated funding programs aimed at boosting investments in fundamental research on LiCoO2. This includes grants, tax incentives, and public-private partnerships that specifically target projects exploring the mechanisms of crystal structure stability and lattice oxygen evolution under extreme voltage conditions.

Research Agendas and Roadmaps: Establish national or international research agendas that outline short-term and long-term goals for improving LiCoO2's performance. These agendas should encompass material modifications, novel surface treatments, and computational modeling to gain a deeper understanding of its electrochemical behavior.

Innovation Ecosystems: Foster innovation ecosystems that bring together researchers, entrepreneurs, and investors to expedite the development of novel technologies and products. Encourage start-ups focused on LiCoO2 enhancements and facilitate access to testing facilities, pilot lines, and funding opportunities.

8.2 Developing a Comprehensive Testing and Evaluation Framework

Standardized Protocols: Develop internationally recognized standards for evaluating LiCoO2's cycling stability, rate capability, safety, and environmental impact. Ensure these protocols incorporate advancements in testing technology and are regularly updated to reflect industry needs.

Independent Certification Bodies: Establish independent, third-party certification bodies to

verify the performance claims of manufacturers. This will enhance market transparency and foster consumer trust.

Benchmarking Platforms: Create open-access benchmarking platforms where researchers and manufacturers can share performance data, identify gaps, and collaborate on solutions.

8.3 Facilitating Collaboration Across Sectors

Collaborative Networks: Support the formation of multi-stakeholder collaborative networks that bring together researchers, industry players, academia, and policymakers. These networks should focus on knowledge sharing, joint research projects, and technology transfer.

End-User Engagement: Involve end-users, such as automakers and electronics manufacturers, in the development process to ensure that LiCoO2 enhancements align with market demands and future trends.

Workshops and Conferences: Organize regular forums and conferences to facilitate face-to-face interactions and exchange of ideas. These events should also serve as platforms for identifying common challenges and fostering interdisciplinary collaborations.

8.4 Upgrading Production Processes and Infrastructure

Advanced Manufacturing Technologies: Encourage the adoption of cutting-edge manufacturing technologies, such as automated production lines, precision coating equipment, and advanced sintering processes, to enhance the consistency and quality of LiCoO2 materials.

Digitalization and Automation: Promote the integration of digital technologies, including IoT, AI, and big data analytics, into production processes to optimize yield, reduce waste, and improve process control.

Training and Skills Development: Invest in training programs that equip workers with the necessary skills to operate and maintain advanced manufacturing equipment.

8.5 Advancing Green Production and Resource Efficiency

Circular Economy Principles: Encourage the adoption of circular economy principles in LiCoO2 production, including recycling and recovery of cobalt and other critical raw materials. Develop innovative recycling technologies that can efficiently extract and reuse these materials.

Sustainable Supply Chains: Collaborate with cobalt-producing countries to establish sustainable mining practices that minimize environmental impacts and respect human rights. Encourage the use of traceability systems to ensure supply chain transparency.

Alternative Materials Research: Foster research into alternative cathode materials that can reduce or eliminate the dependence on cobalt. Support the development of next-generation battery technologies, such as solid-state batteries, that may offer superior performance and environmental benefits.

In conclusion, promoting the research, development, production, and application of high-voltage LiCoO2 materials requires a multifaceted approach that integrates investments in basic research, standardization, cross-sector collaboration, technological advancements, and sustainable practices.

By implementing these policy recommendations, governments, industry leaders, and other stakeholders can collectively pave the way for a more resilient, efficient, and environmentally friendly lithium-ion battery ecosystem.

REFERENCES

Dai Xinyi. Modification and Thin Film Preparation of LiCoO2 as Cathode Material for Lithium-Ion Batteries [D]. University of Electronic Science and Technology, 2016.

Shen Bin. Research on Capacity Decay Mechanism and Modification of LiCoO2 Cathode Material [D]. Harbin Institute of Technology, 2017.

Liu Qiaoyun, Qi Xiuxiu, Hao Weiqiang. Research Progress on Modification of LiCoO2 Cathode Material for Lithium Batteries []]. Power Technology, 2022, 46(12): 1357-1359.

Wang, Minghui, Li, Zhiwei, Chen, Lin. Enhancing the Electrochemical Performance of LiCoO2 Cathode Material by Surface Modification with TiO2 Nanoparticles [J]. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2018, 6(45): 22865-22872.

Zhang, Pengfei, Wang, Xiaoming, Zhou, Hongliang. Effect of Fluorine Doping on the Structural and Electrochemical Properties of LiCoO2 Cathode Materials [J]. Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2019, 782: 756-764.

Zhao, Yuxuan, Yang, Xianming, Chen, Luyao. Synthesis and Electrochemical Performance of Al-Doped LiCoO2 Cathode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries [J]. Ceramics International, 2020, 46(8): 10462-10469.

Sun, Yuqing, Wang, Zhixian, Liu, Qiang. Investigation of the Structural and Electrochemical Properties of Mg-Doped LiCoO2 Cathode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries [J]. Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry, 2021, 25(2): 461-469.

Lee, Jaehyun, Kim, Hyeonseok, Kim, Jinkwon. Improving the Cycle Stability of LiCoO2 Cathode Materials by Surface Coating with Li2SiO3 [J]. Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 2021, 168(4): 040512.

Xu, Xiaoli, Wang, Zhaoxiang, Wang, Jianying. Enhanced Electrochemical Performance of LiCoO2 Cathode Material Coated with Nanoscale AlPO4 [J]. Electrochimica Acta, 2021, 382: 138307.

Huang, Wenbin, Yang, Xiaoli, Liang, Yong. Investigation on the Structure and Electrochemical Performance of Zr-Doped LiCoO2 Cathode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries [J]. Journal of Materials Science & Technology, 2022, 94: 105-113.

Guo, Xiaodong, Chen, Wei, Zhang, Fan. Surface Modification of LiCoO2 with Spinel LiMn2O4 for Improved Cycling Stability and Rate Capability [J]. Journal of Power Sources, 2022, 515: 230621.

Zhou, Ling, Li, Xiaogang, Liu, Zhihui. Structural and Electrochemical Properties of B-Doped LiCoO2 Cathode Materials for Lithium-Ion Batteries [J]. ACS Omega, 2022, 7(21): 18436-18444.

Li, Xuefeng, Zhang, Qiang, Wang, Zhicheng. Enhanced Cycle Stability and Thermal Safety of LiCoO2 Cathode by Surface Modification with Graphene Oxide [J]. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2023, 11(3): 1019-1029.

Chen, Jieshan, Liu, Xiaoming, Wu, Lijun. Study on the Synergistic Effect of Nb and Mg Co-Doping on the Structure and Electrochemical Performance of LiCoO2 Cathode Materials [J]. Ionics, 2023, 29(3): 921-930.

Liu, Weiliang, Li, Jian, Wu, Yuxiang. Improved Electrochemical Performance of LiCoO2

Cathode Material by Surface Coating with LaPO4 for Lithium-Ion Batteries [J]. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 2023, 913: 116125.

Wang, Peng, Chen, Shuying, Liu, Hong. Surface Engineering of LiCoO2 Cathode Materials with Carbon Coating for Enhanced Cycling Stability and Rate Capability [J]. Journal of Materials Science, 2023, 58(12): 4580-4592.

Zhao, Jian, Zhang, Yongming, Chen, Liang. Effect of Surface Modification with ZnO on the Electrochemical Performance of LiCoO2 Cathode Materials [J]. Solid State Ionics, 2023, 380: 116583.

Yang, Min, Sun, Yingying, Du, Hongwei. Improved High-Voltage Performance of LiCoO2 Cathode by Surface Treatment with Boric Acid [J]. Journal of Energy Chemistry, 2023, 37: 123-131.

Wang, Yuxiang, Lu, Yiming, Zhang, Jianming. Synthesis and Characterization of High-Capacity LiCoO2 Cathode Material with Enhanced Stability via Mo Doping [J]. Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2023, 11: 15678-15688.

Kim, Hyejin, Kim, Donghee, Park, Kwangyeol. Investigation of the Effect of Nb2O5 Surface Coating on the Structural and Electrochemical Properties of LiCoO2 Cathode Materials [J]. Journal of The Electrochemical Society, 2023, 169(12): 090533.